

The why, how, and when of representations for complex systems

Leo Torres¹ and Ann Sizemore Blevins², Danielle S. Bassett², Tina Eliassi-Rad¹ ¹Northeastern University, ²University of Pennsylvania

Formalisms offer different perspectives Hyperedges can have absent substructures. We measure the fullness of a hyperedge with the fill coefficient: $f(h) = \frac{|g \in E : g \subsetneq h \text{ and } |g| > 1|$ $2^{|h|} - 2 - |h|$ Similar to the graph formalism, we can calculate the hypergraph clustering coefficient²) I $\sum EO(h_i, h_j)$ $HC(v) \propto$ $h_i, h_j, v \in h_*$ EO(h,g) = extra overlap between hyperedgesDoes our interpretation of average clustering change when j d d 0.5 using different representations? Graph Hypergraph EO(h, g) = 2/3 $\overline{C}(h) = 7/9$ f(h) = 1/3 $\overline{HC}(h) = \frac{8}{27}$

Conclusions

- Choosing the proper formalism for complex system analyses requires knowledge of data dependencies and question requirements.

- The data abstraction method can significantly affect analysis results.



References

I. Benson, Austin R., David F. Gleich, and Jure Leskovec. "Higher-order organization of complex networks." Science 353.6295 (2016): 163-166. 2. Zhou, Wanding, and Luay Nakhleh. "Properties of metabolic graphs: biological organization or representation artifacts?." BMC bioinformatics 12.1 (2011): 132. 3. Klimt, Bryan, and Yiming Yang. "The enron corpus: A new dataset for email classification research." European Conference on Machine Learning. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004.

4. Giurgiu, Madalina, et al. "CORUM: the comprehensive resource of mammalian protein complexes — 2019." Nucleic acids research 47.D1 (2018): D559-D563.